

Hutchison, Blair

From: Shontell Munoz <shontellm@scrca.org>
Sent: Monday, January 3, 2022 4:25 PM
To: Orozco, Sonia; Dreyer, Helen; Mandell, Joseph; City Clerk
Cc: Earl Wiklund; Cora Rose; David Breninger (dbreninger@surewest.net); David Lamon (davelamon@comcast.net); George Porter; John Raniseski; Marsha Rhodes; Mary Ann Chittick
Subject: Letter to the Chair and Members of the Independent Redistricting Commission (IRC)
Attachments: (next page) IndependentRedistrictingCommission_SCRLtr010322.pdf
Importance: High

EXTERNAL: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click on any links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Greetings,

Attached is a follow-up letter from our Board President, David Breninger, regarding Sun City Roseville's recommendation to the IRC.

Thank you in advance for your time and consideration.

Regards,

Shontell Munoz
Executive Administrative Coordinator
Sun City Roseville Community Association
7050 Del Webb Blvd.
Roseville, CA 95747
916.774.3815 p
916.774.0655 f

Shontell Munoz



Sun City Roseville Community Association, Inc.

January 3, 2022

Mr. Paul Frank, Chair and
Members of the Independent Redistricting Commission
City of Roseville, City Hall
311 Vernon Street
Roseville, CA 95678

Dear Mr. Frank and Commissioners,

Thank you for carrying forward at your December 20, 2021 meeting, Map 6 - that is now retitled Map B - as one of the six maps under final consideration to define Roseville City Council Districts for the next ten years as set forth in your "Preliminary Redistricting Plan and Draft Map Analysis - December 22, 2021".

The Sun City Roseville Community Association (the Association) has reviewed your Analysis and recommends that you adopt Map B (formerly Map 6). The configuration of Map B best represents and retains the continuity and "community of interest" of the Sun City Roseville community in Council District 4. The Association's previous letter (of December 20, 2021 - as attached) to you sets forth the basis and is again the recommendation of the Association for the Commission to adopt Map B.

Additionally, the Association recommends that the Commission delete Maps D, E and F from any further consideration. This is because each of these three maps remove Sun City Roseville from Council District 4 and places the community in Council District 5. Sun City Roseville's "community of interests" are closely associated with development within Council District 4 and not Council District 5. When the areas now within existing Council District 5 were planned, it was done under different land use development intentions and financing decisions. As such, homeowners in Council District 5 pay higher fees and taxes as best illustrated by the mandated and very costly additional monthly Mello-Roos assessment placed on each homeowner. This is not the case at all in Sun City Roseville. Thus, the Association recommends that Maps D, E and F be removed from any consideration by the Commission.

Should you need further information regarding the Association's recommendations, please contact our Executive Director, Earl Wiklund, and/or me at any time.

Sincerely,

David A. Breninger

David A. Breninger
President of the Board of Directors
Sun City Roseville Community Association
dbreninger@surewest.net

C/c:

Sonia Orozco, City Clerk (sorozco@roseville.ca.us), 916-774-5269
Helen Dreyer, Assistant City Clerk (hdreyer@roseville.ca.us), 916-774-5356,
Joe Mandell, Senior Deputy City Attorney (jmandell@roseville.a.us), 916-774-5325
Earl Wiklund, Executive Director, Sun City Roseville Community Association (wiklunde@scrca.org), 916-774-3862
Board of Directors, Sun City Roseville Community Association

The document referenced above from the Commission's website is at this link:

https://p1cdn4static.civiclive.com/UserFiles/Servers/Server_7964838/File/City Clerk/IRC Draft Map and Report 12-22-21.pdf



Sun City Roseville Community Association, Inc.

December 20, 2021

Mr. Paul Frank, Chair and
Members of the Independent Redistricting Commission
City of Roseville, City Hall
311 Vernon Street
Roseville, CA 95678

Dear Mr. Frank and Commissioners,

In the Independent Redistricting Commission's consideration to narrow to three (3) to five (5) maps - of the twenty-three (23) proposed redistricting maps under consideration for possible new boundaries for the five (5) single-member City Council Districts - at your December 20, 2021 meeting followed by decision of one final map at your January, 2022 meeting, the Sun City Roseville Community Association (the Association) submits the following comments and recommendation to you.

The various documents and twenty-three (23) Public Maps available at the Commission's website have been reviewed. Likewise, the understanding that the purpose of the Commission is to assure that council districts are geographically contiguous and substantially equitable in population has been taken into account in preparation and submission of the Association's letter and recommendation to you.

Background:

The Association in 2019 supported the City Council's decision to transition from at-large to district-based single-member districts and elections as embodied in the City Council's Ordinance No. 6161 as adopted on December 4, 2019. The Association supported both the City Council's action to form five (5) separate single-Districts and more specifically the creation of the boundaries of current Council District 4.

Recommendation:

As the boundaries of Council District 4 are being considered now by the Commission among the nearly two dozen proposed maps, the Association recommends that the redistricting boundary for Council District 4 as identified on Public Map 6 (dated November 8, 2021) be adopted.

Public Map 6 assures Council District 4's continuance of a compact community of interest both across and within an easily identifiable geographic area. Public Map 6 for Council District 4 is bounded by easily identifiable major street boundaries on its west, south and east and the City of Roseville boundary-line (with the County of Placer) on the north.

Further, the single-family residential and supporting commercial development of the area identified in Public Map 6 for Council District 4 was generally planned over the same time-line by the City. Likewise, the subsequent growth of single-family residential homes/units occurred over the same general span of time thus giving it a strong commonality of similar community interests.

Additionally, our Sun City Roseville community in 2019 and in 2021 remains the most significant in size as well as to population of single family residential adult living communities within the City of Roseville, within Council District 4 as depicted in Public Map 6.

The Association's recommendation as depicted in Public Map 6 for Council District 4 underscores the continuance of the Association's previous recommendation in 2019. Now, as the Commission performs its primary duty to assure that council



Sun City Roseville Community Association, Inc.

districts be geographically contiguous and substantially equitable in population - as based upon federal decennial population data for Roseville as taken in the year 2020 - Public Map 6 for Council District 4 is the map recommended to the Commission by the Association.

Conclusion:

The retention of a tightly drawn and geographically cohesive Council District 4 as set forth on Public Map 6 is recommended by the Association to the Commission for your action at your December 20, 2021 meeting as well as Public Map 6 is recommended to the Commission for your final action to be taken at your January, 2022 Commission meeting.

Thank you for volunteering your time and services on the Commission for the City of Roseville and, on behalf of your fellow citizens.

Should you need further information regarding the Association's recommendation, please contact our Executive Director, Earl Wiklund, and/or me at any time.

Sincerely,

David A. Breninger

David A. Breninger
President of the Board of Directors
Sun City Roseville Community Association
dbreninger@surewest.net

C/c:

Sonia Orozco, City Clerk (sorozco@roseville.ca.us), 916-774-5269
Helen Dreyer, Assistant City Clerk (hdreyer@roseville.ca.us), 916-774-5356,
Joe Mandell, Senior Deputy City Attorney (jmandell@roseville.ca.us), 916-774-5325
Earl Wiklund, Executive Director, Sun City Roseville Community Association (wiklunde@scrca.org), 916-774-3862
Board of Directors, Sun City Roseville Community Association

Selected documents referenced above from the Commission's website are:

Summary Statistics - Public Map 6 dated November 8, 2021:

[file:///C:/Users/David/Downloads/Redistricting Summary Statistics - Public Map 06%20\(1\).pdf](file:///C:/Users/David/Downloads/Redistricting%20Summary%20Statistics%20-%20Public%20Map%2006%20(1).pdf)

Federal decennial 2020 population data by Neighborhood Association Map - dated December 14, 2021:

[file:///C:/Users/David/Downloads/Supplemental Map 2020 Population by Neighborhood Association.pdf](file:///C:/Users/David/Downloads/Supplemental%20Map%202020%20Population%20by%20Neighborhood%20Association.pdf)

Single Family Residential Adult Living Communities map - dated December 14, 2021:

[file:///C:/Users/David/Downloads/Supplemental Map Single Family Residential Adult Living Communit.es.pdf](file:///C:/Users/David/Downloads/Supplemental%20Map%20Single%20Family%20Residential%20Adult%20Living%20Communities.pdf)

Hutchison, Blair

From: Susan Gutowsky <susan@persistentstrategies.com>
Sent: Tuesday, January 4, 2022 2:56 PM
To: City Clerk
Subject: public comment - redistricting

EXTERNAL: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click on any links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear Clerk and commission members:

As a long time resident of Stoneridge, I am writing to share my views on the upcoming Roseville redistricting. I expect a transparent process with community input strongly considered when debating the current proposed redistricting alternatives.

After studying all of the proposed redistricting maps, **I strongly support maps E and F, because these two maps do not divide any RCONA neighborhood associations or communities of interest.** Maps E and F fulfill both the letter and the spirit of the California Voting Right Act and best ensure equality and fair representation for all Roseville residents. Map D is an alternative that, although it splits up the Quail Glen and Harding neighborhoods, would be an acceptable alternative.

I request that my comments be read into the public record at the next meeting on January 6th.

Thank you for your work on this important issue.

Respectfully,
Susan Gutowsky
Stoneridge East Neighborhood

Hutchison, Blair

From: Greg Sheppard <gregdistic@live.com>
Sent: Tuesday, January 4, 2022 8:49 PM
To: City Clerk
Subject: Community of Interest - Input for Re-districting
Attachments: COR_RedistrictingCommunityInterest V1 Greg Comments 1-4-22.pdf

EXTERNAL: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click on any links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Good evening,

I am submitting my feedback/input relating to my community of interest for consideration during the City's redistricting effort. Would you please confirm the attachment came through? *(Next page)*

As indicated on the attached, I am in District 2 and highly favor the proposed Map E, with Map C being my 2nd preferred version.

Thank you for your consideration of my comments and best of luck with the redistricting effort.

Greg Sheppard

greg sheppard



ROSEVILLE INDEPENDENT REDISTRICTING COMMISSION COMMUNITY OF INTEREST FORM

DOCUMENT YOUR COMMUNITY OF INTEREST

1. What are the common interests in your community? Describe how they are important:

My community (Vineyard) has a lot of younger children and youth. We go to Heritage Oaks Elementary, and know/bond with so many of the nearby families. I also notice a lot of us share similar hobbies, professions and the priorities for the services and the programs Roseville offers.

2. Explain the geographical location of your community of interest. What are the physical boundaries?

The general geographic boundaries of my community tends to start at Vineyard, and go as far North as Pleasant Grove; it goes as far West as Junction (St. Clare); there is not as much connection with my side of Foothills Blvd (West) and that of the East side (nearer to Denio's and the train station). The economic / income difference seems to separate the two sides of Foothills.

3. What is the rationale for your community of interest to be used in the City Council redistricting process?
Please describe how the issues before the City Council have an impact on your community.

There is a very tight connection with the Vineyard community (the circles off of Riesling Drive) and those around the Heritage Oak Elementary school. So many of the parents and kids are close and play sports and other recreational activities together. The economic and professional connection among parents is also very similar compared to other adjacent communities (South and East, such as Roseville Heights and Theiles Manor).

4. What else would you like to tell us about your community?

I think Map E (as of 1/4/22) is the most ideal for my community, while Map C is the next closest. 95% of the other families in Roseville that me and my family (and other friends in this area) connect with (personally and professionally) are within the proposed boundaries. Whereas there would be a bigger disparity keeping Vineyard with any of the other areas and not including the Kaseberg/Kingswood, Foothills Junction and Woodcreek Oaks communities.

PROVIDING THE FOLLOWING INFORMATION IS OPTIONAL.

First name
Greg

Email
gregdistic@live.com

Which district do you live in?

- District 1 District 2 District 3 District 4 District 5 Not sure

Find your current City Council district at roseville.ca.us/FindMyDistrict

Submit this form via email to: cityclerkroseville@roseville.ca.us, or print and mail or deliver completed form to:

Roseville City Clerk, 311 Vernon Street, Roseville, CA 95678

SUBMIT VIA EMAIL

Hutchison, Blair

From: Kathleen Crawford <kathleen@thecrawfordfamily.net>
Sent: Wednesday, January 5, 2022 12:22 PM
To: City Clerk
Subject: Redistricting Maps Public Comment

EXTERNAL: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click on any links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Thank you to the Independent Redistricting Commission and city staff for all the work that has gone into this process. As a 10 year resident of Sun City Roseville with family members in the Quail Glen area, I want to request that the Commission choose Maps E, F or D (in my priority order) as these maps do not split communities of interest, neighborhood associations and are compact as well as contiguous. Due to the Omicron transmissibility factors, I will not be able to attend the Maidu workshop and possibly other public workshops. I look forward to the on line meetings available at the City Council locations to hear other public comments. Please read my email into the public record.

Kathleen Crawford

4130 Sylvan Glen Lane, Roseville 95747

Hutchison, Blair

From: Kristie Revell <rk_revell@comcast.net>
Sent: Wednesday, January 5, 2022 4:10 PM
To: City Clerk
Subject: Redistrict proposals

EXTERNAL: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click on any links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Does redistricting map determine school placement? The proposed maps don't indicate how this changes high school assignments for my kids. Where can I find this information?

Kristie Revell

Sent from my iPhone

Hutchison, Blair

From: Betty Estep <betty_estep@yahoo.com>
Sent: Wednesday, January 5, 2022 7:45 PM
To: City Clerk
Subject: Roseville Redistricting

EXTERNAL: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click on any links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Roseville City Management,

I wanted to respond to the documents provided to the community regarding Roseville redistricting. As I look through the various maps, I find Map C the best for "me".... and my neighbors. I live in the Kingswood development. The other maps don't reflect "my area" that would include my daily shopping, errand locations, neighbors and friends. One of the maps literally slices us in two (Map B). That is is NOT my favorite nor is Map D.

I have lived in the Roseville/Rocklin area since 1981. Roseville is a marvelous city. Roseville is convenient, clean and lawful with good leadership. I have a good overview of why these district lines are being drawn. However, I would expect that regardless of the "lines" our city representatives realize that they represent ALL Roseville residents.

Thank you for allowing me to provide my personal opinion on your plan for us.

Betty Estep
Roseville, CA

Hutchison, Blair

From: Robert Dugan <robert.d.dugan@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, January 6, 2022 9:59 AM
To: City Clerk; paulanddarci@gmail.com
Subject: Roseville Redistricting 2022

EXTERNAL: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click on any links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

To: Roseville Independent Redistricting Commission

I have watched with interest as the commission has done its work. At this point I feel compelled to speak up in the process.

I grew up in Roseville. Having lived here almost all my life, (my father was Chamber President in the early 1960's) I understand our history and culture. I understand how hard many have worked to protect it – and we are at a moment where it is at risk.

I spent 10 years serving the residents of the city and the City Council on the planning commission. We worked hard to create neighborhoods and ensure that we did not become just another bedroom community of the bay area – and as a matter of fact, folks moved here to get away from there in many cases, and those of us that grew up here don't want that to be our future for our children.

I failed to speak up during the county process and must say I regret it. It is clear to me and many others (which may be a result of my family's lifelong engagement in our community here) that the ultimate plan adopted for redistricting was clearly designed to punish some, while protecting a couple of supervisors, with little to no recognition of the realities, history, and identity of Placer County, thanks in large part to a vocal and manipulative minority. I would have never guessed that outcome.

I hope I am wrong, but those same forces appear as clear as daylight in some of these maps (which may be a result of my family's lifelong engagement in our community here). Particularly maps A, D, E, and F. To punish, and gerrymander, for political gain, while disenfranchising a significant number of citizens for the benefit of a few special interests. That is not our history, not our tradition. We honor our community, we honor our citizens, and when we stop doing that, well, we are just another urban town with no identity left.

A couple key points, likely in the category of calling out the elephant in the room and pointing out that the emperor has no clothes:

1. Districted elections, by their nature, pit citizens and parts of cities against each other, just look at Sacramento's history. But we don't get to do anything about that. We just have to make the best of it.
2. Solid Council districts are based on planning corridors, the primary foundational element of every city government, not neighborhoods that either choose to self-identify with each other or not based on who shows up.
3. RCONA's, and I was around when they were first formed, are not planning agencies, they are neighborhood associations that were intended to strengthen neighborhood watch and bring neighbors together. They are not a political subdivision of planning or elected district boundaries. In my 10 years on the planning commission, I saw the challenges RCONAs have faced being productive in planning decisions firsthand.
4. To adopt any map that prevents a significant number of City citizens from voting for 6 years is unconscionable.

I encourage you to do the right thing:

- Adopt maps that are a logical progression from the last maps adopted, while fixing the mistakes of the past.
- Adopt maps that follow logical planning corridors, processes, and history.

You have a Couple maps here that are worthy of consideration, specifically maps B and C, and clearly a few maps, like A, D, E, and F, that pander to non-elected political operatives and special interests while disenfranchising large numbers of voters in the city.

Make a choice to rise above the parochial special interests and do the right thing.

Thank you.

--

Robert Dugan
(916) 425-3232

Hutchison, Blair

From: John Frank <theoequis@hotmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, January 6, 2022 11:17 AM
To: City Clerk
Subject: Redistricting maps

EXTERNAL: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click on any links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Good day Roseville Resistance Face Book group posted the 6 maps now being considered. Rather than just repeating myself I am coping my response here as requested by the group.

Frankly all of the maps have done a good job of dividing Roseville into 5 districts, giving the overwhelming lack of diversity of this population lines seem arbitrary. I like smooth lines having lived in many places over the last 73 years, and for that reason I like B. As for neighborhood concerns, they smack of seclusion and closeting. Something our worse selves crave. We are all in this together and need to work towards reducing divisions not creating artificial ones..

I've lived 7 additional states besides California over the last 70+ years, most recently the South from 1995 until 2016 and I've seen the division that happens when these maps are drawn around political and racial lines. Don't make the mistake of others, pick balanced and regular maps.

John Frank

Hutchison, Blair

From: Kayla Scott <scott.kaylaj@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, January 6, 2022 2:48 PM
To: City Clerk
Subject: Redistricting Commission - Public Comment

EXTERNAL: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click on any links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Good evening, City of Roseville Independent Redistricting Commissioners,

My name is Kayla Scott, a fourth-generation Roseville native, born and proudly raised in our expanding city limits. I grew up in and attended Roseville City schools and graduated from Oakmont High School alongside my husband. My husband and I have deepened our generational roots in Roseville by raising our three-year-old daughter, a fifth-generation Roseville resident, at 303 Rich Bar Court near Heritage Oak Elementary. As I work for a small business based in Roseville and proudly serve on the City's Library Board, I am emotionally and civically invested in the success and equity of how our city operates. Thank you for the opportunity to be heard tonight.

Upon careful consideration of the six proposed redistricting maps, I urge the Commission to remove Maps A, D, E and F from final consideration. While Maps B and C offer the lowest percentage of total population deviation, my request is that you push Map B into final consideration and official adoption.

Map B offers up to 3% less total population deviation, allowing for a more equitable distribution throughout the city. Map B also places my neighborhood in District 2 as opposed to my current District 5. We know that our current District 5 will need to retreat more West to consider the needs of that growing population. I find this to be a fair adjustment and allows Map B's newly proposed District 2 to include my daughter's school district, as well as neighbors most impacted by decisions in the Foothills/Junction neighborhoods.

Map B also offers the proposed new District 2 a "closer to ideal" deviation. In this map, District 2 will only deviate by over 158 compared to map A's deviation of under 199. Map B provides the strongest stability and as best possible follows our geographic boundaries of neighborhoods, specific plans and school districts. There are many reasons why Roseville is considered and voted one of the best cities to live, buy a home, raise a family and retire. In order for our city to remain one of the best places to live, work and play in California's Megaregion, we are required to maintain solid, community inspired leadership. I believe that small changes are good changes, incremental transitions that will allow continuity of leadership with experienced, caring Councilmembers.

Please consider my request to remove Maps A, D, E and F from consideration and select Map B as our final adoption of the redistricting process. Thank you for your service and attention to the equity of our beloved city.

Hutchison, Blair

From: Jason Probst <jasonprobst@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, January 6, 2022 3:41 PM
To: City Clerk
Subject: My public comment for tonight's Redistricting Commission meeting

EXTERNAL: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click on any links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Hello. Here is my public comment I'd like submitted for the record, and shared, at tonight's Redistricting Commission meeting:

"Please take into account that there are a lot more communities of interest that bind Roseville citizens together outside of neighborhood associations. A person might work in one portion of the city, live in a second, and socialize in one or more others.

Just because there are neighborhood associations lobbying to keep their areas together when the new map is drawn doesn't make a case for this being the prime driver of how maps should be drawn - there are many persons such as myself that live in areas with inactive neighborhood associations, and we wouldn't use it as a criteria for drawing maps. Thank you so much.

Jason Probst
Roseville resident

--

[ESPN archive](#)
[Sacramento News & Review](#)
[Twitter](#)

Hutchison, Blair

From: David A Willis <dawillis1@earthlink.net>
Sent: Friday, January 7, 2022 7:07 AM
To: City Clerk
Subject: Redistricting 2022

EXTERNAL: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click on any links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

City Clerk, Roseville:

My thanks to the Independent Redistricting Commission and city staff for all the hard work that has gone into the redistricting process. As a current original 25- year resident of Sun City Roseville with family members in the Crocker Ranch area, I want to request that the Commission choose Maps E, F or D (in my priority order) for the reason that these maps do not split communities of interest, neighborhood associations and are compact as well as contiguous. Due to Omicron Coronavirus high transmissibility, I will not be able to attend the public workshops. I look forward to the broadcast meetings available at the City Council locations to hear other public comments. Please read my email into the public record.

David A. Willis
124 Delta Breeze Court

Hutchison, Blair

From: chastain.rebecca@gmail.com
Sent: Friday, January 7, 2022 9:09 AM
To: City Clerk Public Record Request
Subject: Redistricting feedback
Attachments: COR_Redistricting_TestimonyForm.pdf

EXTERNAL: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click on any links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear City Clerk,

Please find my COR Redistricting Testimony feedback form. *(next page)*

I live on Polley Drive in the Maidu Neighborhood.

Please let me know if you need additional information from me.

Thank you,
Rebecca Chastain

City of Roseville Redistricting 2021-2022



Help shape the future of City Council district maps and boundaries

Every ten years, U.S. Census data is used to redraw the City of Roseville’s City Council district boundaries. This ensures that districts are properly balanced to account for changes in population. The Roseville Independent Redistricting Commission wants to hear from you on how these boundaries should be drawn.

Please complete this form to tell the Independent Redistricting Commission about your thoughts on the six (6) draft maps they have selected for further consideration. We also want to hear about your community of interest because keeping communities of interest together is a high-ranking criteria in the redistricting process. Thank you for your input and participation!

Which draft map do you think best serves the interests of the residents of the City of Roseville?

- Draft Map A
- Draft Map B
- Draft Map C
- Draft Map D
- Draft Map E
- Draft Map F

Please describe what you like or do not like about the draft map(s) you selected above:

I select Map F, E, and D. These maps most closely match the Roseville Charter priorities in keeping neighborhoods intact.

What is a Community of Interest?

A Community of Interest is a group of people in the same geographically-definable area who share common social and economic interests. Some examples of Communities of Interest are:

- Senior citizens
- College students living near campus
- People who live downtown or in a neighborhood
- Residents who share a common language
- People that use the same transportation systems

Document Your Community of Interest

1. What are the common interests in your community? Describe how they are important.

I live in the Maidu neighborhood. Fortunately, on all maps, it is kept intact.

However, Maps A, B, and C divide many neighborhoods. If those maps were selected, my voting power would be weakened. When a neighborhood is divided, and two council members represent one neighborhood, it gives that neighborhood twice the say and sway with the city council. If a citizen living in a divided neighborhood gets two council member votes to my one, that’s not a democracy.

Maps F, E, and D are best designed to give every citizen of Roseville equal representation and equal voting power.

2. Explain the geographical location of your community of interest. What are the physical boundaries?

3. What is the reason your community of interest should be considered in the redistricting process?
Please describe how the redistricting process could have an impact on your community.

Maintaining neighborhood boundaries inside each district has been determined by the Roseville Charter as having the highest priority for the district designs. Selecting a map that has the highest number of intact neighborhoods should be at the top of the committee's priorities. Maps F, E, and D are these maps.

4. What else would you like to tell us about your community?

What Can You Do to Further Participate in the Redistricting Process?

1. Provide your comments by completing this worksheet and returning it by email to the Roseville City Clerk Department at cityclerk@roseville.ca.us or by dropping off a hard copy to the City Clerk at 311 Vernon Street, Roseville, CA 95678 or attending a public hearing and providing your comments to the Independent Redistricting Commission.
2. Attend one of the City of Roseville Independent Redistricting Commission public meetings. Times and locations of all meetings can be found on the City's redistricting website at: roseville.ca.us/redistricting



Send an email:
cityclerk@roseville.ca.us



Meet in person: Call
916-774-5200 to make
an appointment



Mail: Roseville City Clerk
311 Vernon Street,
Roseville CA 95678

Questions or comments?

We want to hear from you. Email the City of Roseville Independent Redistricting Commission at cityclerk@roseville.ca.us or visit our webpage at: roseville.ca.us/redistricting

Hutchison, Blair

From: Kathy Kelly <kkellyrph63@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, January 7, 2022 3:11 PM
To: City Clerk
Subject: Redistricting 2022

EXTERNAL: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click on any links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

To: City Clerk Roseville:

Thank you to the Independent Redistricting Commission and city staff for all the hard work that has gone into the redistricting process.

As a current resident of the Crocker Ranch area, and with family members in the Del Web and also Crocker Ranch area, I request that the Commission choose Maps E, F or D (listed in my priority order) because these maps do not split communities of interest, neighborhood associations and are compact as well as contiguous. Due to Omicron Coronavirus high rate of transmissibility, I will not be able to attend the public workshops. I look forward to the broadcast meetings available at the City Council locations to hear other public comments.

I would like my email read and added to the public record.

Thank you for your time,
Kathleen Kelly
132 Tuscan Grove Court
Roseville, CA 95747

Hutchison, Blair

From: Glenda Atwood <hayglenda@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, January 7, 2022 4:10 PM
To: City Clerk Public Record Request
Subject: Redistricting survey
Attachments: COR_Redistricting_TestimonyForm.pdf

EXTERNAL: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click on any links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Please see my Vote attached. (Next page)

Glenda Hay

Glenda Hay

City of Roseville Redistricting 2021-2022



Help shape the future of City Council district maps and boundaries

Every ten years, U.S. Census data is used to redraw the City of Roseville's City Council district boundaries. This ensures that districts are properly balanced to account for changes in population. The Roseville Independent Redistricting Commission wants to hear from you on how these boundaries should be drawn.

Please complete this form to tell the Independent Redistricting Commission about your thoughts on the six (6) draft maps they have selected for further consideration. We also want to hear about your community of interest because keeping communities of interest together is a high-ranking criteria in the redistricting process. Thank you for your input and participation!

Which draft map do you think best serves the interests of the residents of the City of Roseville?

Draft Map A Draft Map B Draft Map C Draft Map D Draft Map E Draft Map F

Please describe what you like or do not like about the draft map(s) you selected above:

E is my favorite Map. F a close 2nd.

I like East of 80 with their special needs of the City's major medical campuses. I am in Historic Sierra Vista and Map E unites my Neighborhood with similar older communities.

What is a Community of Interest?

A Community of Interest is a group of people in the same geographically-definable area who share common social and economic interests. Some examples of Communities of Interest are:

- Senior citizens
- College students living near campus
- People who live downtown or in a neighborhood
- Residents who share a common language
- People that use the same transportation systems

Document Your Community of Interest

1. What are the common interests in your community? Describe how they are important.

Inconsistent infrastructure such as lack of sidewalks and intermittent street lighting. Aging streets abused by pass-through traffic as Roseville expands westward over our little Sierra St. Bridge, traffic with no community destination.

2. Explain the geographical location of your community of interest. What are the physical boundaries?

"Historic Sierra Vista" Established in 1906. Vernon St, Railroad tracks at Vernon to Washington. Home of Roseville High School and Historic Woodbridge Park.

3. What is the reason your community of interest should be considered in the redistricting process?
Please describe how the redistricting process could have an impact on your community.

Council members who live in fancy modern communities with a street light at every other house and a sidewalk at every house for children to walk on have no clue what it's like to have to carry a flashlight when you walk at night, or to have to wheel your stroller in the middle of the road because there are long stretches with NO sidewalks.

4. What else would you like to tell us about your community?

I've been here for almost 30 years.

What Can You Do to Further Participate in the Redistricting Process?

1. Provide your comments by completing this worksheet and returning it by email to the Roseville City Clerk Department at cityclerk@roseville.ca.us or by dropping off a hard copy to the City Clerk at 311 Vernon Street, Roseville, CA 95678 or attending a public hearing and providing your comments to the Independent Redistricting Commission.
2. Attend one of the City of Roseville Independent Redistricting Commission public meetings. Times and locations of all meetings can be found on the City's redistricting website at: roseville.ca.us/redistricting



Send an email:
cityclerk@roseville.ca.us



Meet in person: Call
916-774-5200 to make
an appointment



Mail: Roseville City Clerk
311 Vernon Street,
Roseville CA 95678

Questions or comments?

We want to hear from you. Email the City of Roseville Independent Redistricting Commission at cityclerk@roseville.ca.us or visit our webpage at: roseville.ca.us/redistricting

Hutchison, Blair

From: Kent MacDiarmid <kmacdiarmid@me.com>
Sent: Saturday, January 8, 2022 4:43 PM
To: City Clerk
Subject: Redistricting Maps - Public Comment

EXTERNAL: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click on any links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Roseville City Clerk,

I appreciate the hard work the members of the independent redistricting commission have put into creating a variety of maps. I have lived and worked in Roseville for 34 years. Roseville is the most amazing city in California. I have been blessed to have been able to live, work, recreate in beautiful parks and open space, attend church, shop for literally everything, eat in fine restaurants, start my own business, make life long friends, attend kids sporting events, volunteer, enjoy it's schools, help build the first specific plan in Roseville, raise a family and now enjoy my homegrown grandchildren all within the city limits of this amazing city. I love this city and I only want the best for it.

One of the 6 remaining maps stands out to me as the perfect fit for Roseville. It reflects the community interest definition perfectly. It also balances the importance of the neighborhood associations and the city planning regions. It's not political, it defines the way the people of Roseville live, work and play within the city boundaries. Each district has it's own uniqueness yet we all share the entirety of all of the benefits of the city. The district's are well balanced and it reflects how the city has grown particularly over the last 4 decades. The obvious choice to me for this great city is Map B.

I have some city planning experience and view these districts as unique places to live within the larger city. I will use common names that have been used to describe these areas (or districts) for decades. Those names match the 5 districts on Map B nearly perfectly.

District 1 East Roseville
District 2 Central Roseville
District 3 North Central Roseville
District 4 North West Roseville
District 5 West Roseville

Thank you for the opportunity for public comment. I am honored and humbled to be able to call Roseville my home.

Kent MacDiarmid
448 Misty Haven Ct.
Roseville, CA 95661

Hutchison, Blair

From: Mandell, Joseph
Sent: Monday, January 10, 2022 2:17 PM
To: City Clerk
Subject: FW: Mapping
Attachments: Map B-2.pdf

Please see email below re: Independent Redistricting Commission comments we received. I would like to make sure these get into the record along with the attachment. Thank you. (Next page)

Joseph J. Mandell
Senior Deputy City Attorney
City Attorney's Office
City of Roseville
311 Vernon St
Roseville, CA 95678
(916) 774-5325
(916) 773-7348 fax
www.roseville.ca.us



CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This email message and any accompanying documents are for the sole use of the intended recipient(s) and may contain confidential and/or privileged attorney-client information or work product. DO NOT FORWARD. Any unauthorized review, use, disclosure or distribution is prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender by reply email and destroy all copies of the original message. Thank you.

From: Einar Maisch <einar.maisch@gmail.com>
Sent: Sunday, January 9, 2022 9:29 AM
To: Mandell, Joseph <JMandell@roseville.ca.us>
Cc: Dreyer, Helen <hdreyer@roseville.ca.us>; David Breninger <dbreninger@surewest.net>; Richard Roccucci <richard@roccucci.com>
Subject: Re: Mapping

EXTERNAL: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click on any links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

I would like the Redistricting Commission to consider a change to Map B that would move the Sierra Vista neighborhood from District 3 to District 2. I was able to edit the original Map 6 with the proposed change to make sure it did not exceed the maximum allowable variation in population. Please see the attached pdf file.
Einar

Total population: **147,969**

Number of districts: **5**

Ideal population per district: **29,594**



Edit mode
Draw new boundaries

Filter by district
(All)

Choose population data source
Total population

Total Deviation
7.9%

October 28, 2021: This map keeps many district boundaries in tact, while cleaning up other lines. It is generally even by population, with the deviation at 6.3%. In this map, only four neighborhood associations are shared by two districts, unlike the current districts which has eleven neighborhood associations shared by different districts.



Hutchison, Blair

From: Mandell, Joseph
Sent: Monday, January 10, 2022 2:18 PM
To: City Clerk
Subject: FW: Mapping
Attachments: Map C-2.pdf

Here is another email below re: Independent Redistricting Commission with comments we received. I would like to make sure these get into the record along with the **attachment**. Thank you. *(next page)*

Joe

Joseph J. Mandell
Senior Deputy City Attorney
City Attorney's Office
City of Roseville
311 Vernon St
Roseville, CA 95678
(916) 774-5325
(916) 773-7348 fax
www.roseville.ca.us



CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE: This email message and any accompanying documents are for the sole use of the intended recipient(s) and may contain confidential and/or privileged attorney-client information or work product. DO NOT FORWARD. Any unauthorized review, use, disclosure or distribution is prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender by reply email and destroy all copies of the original message. Thank you.

From: Einar Maisch <einar.maisch@gmail.com>
Sent: Sunday, January 9, 2022 10:02 AM
To: Mandell, Joseph <JMandell@roseville.ca.us>
Cc: Dreyer, Helen <hdreyer@roseville.ca.us>
Subject: Re: Mapping

EXTERNAL: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click on any links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

I also have suggestions for edits to Map C, which are shown on the attached edit of old Map 8. I don't believe that the areas proposed to be moved into the green area (now District 5), and into the purple area (now District 2) do not contain any residents. The changes are simply to conform the District boundary to logical lines and increase compactness.

Einar

Eimar Maisch

Total population: 147,969

Number of districts: 5

Ideal population per district: 29,594

Edit mode

Draw new boundaries

Filter by district


(All)

Choose population data source

Total population

Total Deviation
3.7%

This map focuses on creating compact, contiguous districts. This map has a very low total deviation (4.4%). This allows for planned growth to be shared between the western two districts (numbered 1 and 2 on this map). To the extent possible, natural and physical boundaries are used to create district boundaries and communities of interest are respected.



From: Rick Duffy <duffysrv@icloud.com>
Sent: Monday, January 10, 2022 3:00 PM
To: City Clerk
Subject: Support for Redistricting Map B

EXTERNAL: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click on any links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Hello,

After reviewing the six final redistricting maps I want to express my support for map B. I live in the Pleasant Grove neighborhood and it's important to keep Pleasant Grove connected to the Quail Glen neighborhood. They share the same schools, especially Woodcreek High school, and we shop and recreate in the same shopping centers and parks. These areas were also built around the same time in the late 90's and early 2000's and share the same infrastructure along with many of the same tax assessments. Map B also appears to be the closest to the existing districts.

I do not support maps A, C, D, E and F

Regards,

Rick Duffy
Member of the Measure B Oversight Committee
916-213-8538
1858 Sunningdale Dr

Rick Duffy





City Council Districts - Draft Map B

City of Roseville | Independent Redistricting Commission
December 20, 2021

Total Population Deviation
Ideal Population = 29,594
Overall Range = 6.3%

Legend

- Existing City Council Districts
- Neighborhoods
- Streets
- Streams
- Census Block
- District Number



Draft Map B was formerly Public Map #6 submitted using the District Scenario Modeler (DSM) web tool. The public map can be viewed in the DSM map gallery by visiting this URL: <https://city-of-roseville-co-district-scenario-modeler-maui.foster.hub.arcgis.com>

Total Population & Deviation Per District

District	Total Population	Over / Under Ideal	Deviation From Ideal
1	29,453	-141	-0.5%
2	29,752	158	0.5%
3	29,962	368	1.2%
4	28,472	-1,122	-3.8%
5	30,530	736	2.5%

California Database Adjusted (in unrounded persons) realization | 2020 Census P.L. 94-171 Redistricting Data Summary Files - Total Population.

Citizens of Voting Age Population (CVAP) by Race/Ethnicity Per District

District	White	Black or African American	Asian	Native Hawaiian and Pacific Islander	Hispanic/Latino
1	80.6%	2.0%	5.2%	0.2%	10.0%
2	68.9%	1.8%	3.8%	0.2%	21.7%
3	71.6%	2.7%	9.3%	0.3%	11.7%
4	76.7%	1.3%	10.9%	0.1%	6.9%
5	62.6%	2.9%	18.3%	0.4%	12.8%

California Database Adjusted 2018 CVAP (in unrounded persons) realization | 2018 Census P.L. 94-171 Redistricting Data Summary Files - Total Population by Race and Ethnicity (Special Tabulation). Rounding of estimates may lead to summation of percentages not equal to 100% (+/- 0.1%).

Total Population by Race/Ethnicity Per District

District	White	Black or African American	Asian	Native Hawaiian and Pacific Islander	Hispanic/Latino
1	66.7%	1.9%	6.6%	0.2%	15.3%
2	59.3%	2.0%	6.6%	0.3%	24.8%
3	66.6%	2.7%	15.4%	0.6%	13.1%
4	66.6%	2.4%	12.6%	0.2%	11.9%
5	55.0%	2.9%	21.6%	0.3%	14.1%

California Database Adjusted 2020 Census P.L. 94-171 Redistricting Data Summary Files - Total Population by Race and Ethnicity (Latinos Only).

